# School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2010-11 School Year Published During 2011-12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## I. Data and Access

#### **EdData Partnership Web Site**

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at <a href="http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **II. About This School**

Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

|                  | School                           | District       |                               |  |
|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| School Name      | Hooker Oak Elementary School K-8 | District Name  | Chico Unified School District |  |
| Street           | 1238 Arbutus Ave.                | Phone Number   | (530) 891-3000                |  |
| City, State, Zip | Chico, CA 95926                  | Web Site       | www.chicousd.org              |  |
| Phone Number     | (530) 891-3119                   | Superintendent | Kelly Staley                  |  |
| Principal        | Sue Hegedus                      | E-mail Address | kstaley@chicousd.org          |  |
| E-mail Address   | shegedus@chicousd.org            | CDS Code       | 04-61424-6003008              |  |

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

In the Spring of 2004, the School Board voted to have Hooker Oak School institute a pilot K-8 Open Structured Classroom program. At the same time, the neighborhood boundaries were disbanded. Hooker Oak K-8 School is housed in a 66 year old building in a family neighborhood in Chico.

The Open Structured Classroom Program (OSC) at Hooker Oak K-8 School is a District and State of California Alternative School of Choice which serves pupils from all over Chico. The fifteen classes are self-contained and multi-aged. Instruction is delivered in an integrated, thematic instructional strategy. Parent involvement is encouraged in the Open Structured Classroom Program. The Open Structured Classroom Program has existed in Chico Unified School District for 38 years.

The "Hooker Oak School Mission" represents the goals and philosophy of the school and can be summed up by its opening paragraph: "We believe that our role is to create a safe school which is primarily concerned with treating children as unique individuals. We strive to create in each a positive, realistic self-concept; a positive attitude toward learning; individual and societal responsibility; and fundamental mastery of basic skills."

## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Parents are encouraged to invest time in their child's classroom. This investment is written into the commitment agreement when students are enrolled in the Open Structured Classroom program. Active classroom parents are a common sight at the school.

The OSC Parent Advisory Board is a parent support group with the specific function of assisting the Open Structured Classroom. Parent involvement is facilitated by the activities of Parent Volunteer Coordinators (PVCs).

Parents are regularly seen at school involved in assemblies, PE activities in the Multi-Purpose Room and on the play field. They frequently assist the teachers in clerical roles and with small groups of students in the classroom. The School Based Coordinated Program funds the employment of a classroom aide.

Representative parents serve on the School Site Council which directs and monitors the school's categorical programs and budget. Contact the main office for more information.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

| Grade Level      | Number of Students |
|------------------|--------------------|
| Kindergarten     | 49                 |
| Grade 1          | 54                 |
| Grade 2          | 47                 |
| Grade 3          | 51                 |
| Grade 4          | 51                 |
| Grade 5          | 45                 |
| Grade 6          | 41                 |
| Total Enrollment | 351                |

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

| Group                            | Percent of<br>Total Enrollment | Group                           | Percent of<br>Total Enrollment |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Black or African American        | 2.8                            | White                           | 78.9                           |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.9                            | Two or More Races               | 0.3                            |
| Asian                            | 1.1                            | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 31.9                           |
| Filipino                         | 0.6                            | English Learners                | 1.1                            |
| Hispanic or Latino               | 8                              | Students with Disabilities      | 10.5                           |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0.3                            |                                 |                                |

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

| 2008-09        |               |       | 2009-10              |     |                          | 2010-11 |               |      |       |     |      |       |             |        |
|----------------|---------------|-------|----------------------|-----|--------------------------|---------|---------------|------|-------|-----|------|-------|-------------|--------|
| Grade<br>Level | Avg.          | Numbe | Number of Classrooms |     |                          |         |               |      |       |     | Avg. | Numbe | er of Class | srooms |
|                | Class<br>Size | 1-20  | 21-32                | 33+ | Class Size 1-20 21-32 33 | 33+     | Class<br>Size | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |      |       |             |        |
| K              | 19.7          | 3     | 0                    | 0   | 26.5                     |         | 2             |      | 18    | 1   | 1    | 0     |             |        |
| 1              | 0             | 0     | 0                    | 0   |                          |         |               |      | 28    | 0   | 1    | 0     |             |        |
| 2              | 0             | 0     | 0                    | 0   |                          |         |               |      | 28    | 0   | 3    | 0     |             |        |
| 3              | 0             | 0     | 0                    | 0   |                          |         |               |      | 29.7  | 0   | 3    | 0     |             |        |
| 4              | 0             | 0     | 0                    | 0   |                          |         |               |      |       |     |      |       |             |        |
| 5              | 0             | 0     | 0                    | 0   |                          |         |               |      | 29    | 0   | 1    | 0     |             |        |
| 6              | 0             | 0     | 0                    | 0   |                          |         |               |      | 24    | 1   | 1    | 1     |             |        |

<sup>\*</sup> Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

## **III. School Climate**

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

There is a School Safety Plan in the principal's office, which is kept as a reference tool. It was updated in October 2011. It includes directives and procedures in the event of the following situations: traumatic incident, imminent danger (code red), evacuation/relocation, civil defense/disorder, bomb threat/bomb emergency, earthquake, chemical spill, crime in progress and fire/explosion.

Fire drills are conducted monthly, and earthquake and Code Red drills, quarterly. All staff are refreshed annually as to their responsibilities in the event of an emergency.

**Suspensions and Expulsions** 

| Rate        |         | School  |         | District |         |         |  |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|--|
|             | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2008-09  | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
| Suspensions | 4.87    | 6.97    | 7.40    | 6.92     | 10.03   | 8.95    |  |
| Expulsions  | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0.77     | 0.73    | 0.59    |  |

<sup>\*</sup> The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

## IV. School Facilities

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- · Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2011

Hooker Oak School was constructed in 1948; the third wing was added in 1958. In 1989 the main building underwent extensive reconstruction. An automatic sprinkling system was installed in 1991. The school has five portable buildings on campus. The entire school is air-conditioned. Adult supervision of the facilities is provided in the morning in the multipurpose room for the breakfast program. During the recess times, the playground is supervised by staff members and parent aides. After school, students may be on the playground under the supervision of their parents or by the staff of the CARD After-school program if they are enrolled in that program. The student delivery and pick-up area is supervised after school. Gated fences that are maintained in a closed, latched, and locked condition enclose the school campus. Signage is posted at all gates notifying all visitors to check-in at the main office and be identified with a visitor's pass. This expectation is enforced campus-wide and adults without a visitor's pass are requested to get one from the office. The classrooms are all from 850 to 950 sq. feet in floor space and provided with sink, counter-top, and storage facilities. The resources of adequate electrical and communication systems as well as reasonable display areas support the educational focus and purpose of the school. Although the school is over fifty years of age, the building is kept clean and in good repair. Defects and problems are reported and responded to by staff in order to maintain a safe and clean environment. The field and hardtop area is well designed for maximum use for both free-play at recess and to support the school's Physical Education program. A separate kindergarten play area is provided and supervised to allow the smaller students a more protected and appropriately secure area. The continued development of the physical facilities has been the focus of the parent group. The staff has a room used as a staff work room and meeting room. This room is provided as a work-preparation room which is equipped with a multitude of teaching literacy resources. The support staff is focused to provide a clean and safe facility. A schedule for cleaning and safety inspection/correction is maintained and ongoing. Although an older facility, pride is taken to present the school as a quality institution. Classrooms and common areas are attended to regularly in order to maintain the elimination of trash and cleaning of the floor surfaces as well as sanitation of the restroom areas. Periodically the countertops, desktops and sink areas are cleaned. The outside areas are kept clean by efforts of staff and student leadership groups. Exceptional situations such as severe storms or damage from inclement situations are responded to in a timely manner by both school and district staff.

## School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- · Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

| 0  | Repair Status |      |      |      | Repair Needed and  |
|--|---------------|------|------|------|--|
| System Inspected   | Exemplary     | Good | Fair | Poor | Action Taken or Planned  |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer                       | [X]           | []   | []   | []   |  |
| Interior:<br>Interior Surfaces                                   | []            | []   | []   | [X]  | Rooms 6, 14, 15, 16, MPR: Stained ceiling tiles - WO#47228 Paint or replace tile |
| Cleanliness:<br>Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin<br>Infestation | [X]           | []   | []   | []   |  |
| Electrical:<br>Electrical  | [X]           | []   | []   | []   |  |
| Restrooms/Fountains:<br>Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains              | []            | [X]  | []   | []   | Room 12: Sink drips - WO#47181<br>Rebuild faucet                                 |
| Safety:<br>Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials                      | [X]           | []   | []   | []   |  |
| Structural:<br>Structural Damage, Roofs                          | [X]           | []   | []   | []   |  |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | [X]           | []   | []   | []   |  |
| Overall Rating   | []            | [X]  | []   | []   |  |

## V. Teachers

### **Teacher Credentials**

| Tanahana                                    |         | District |         |         |
|---|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Teachers                                    | 2008-09 | 2009-10  | 2010-11 | 2010-11 |
| With Full Credential                        | 20      | 18       | 15      | 560     |
| Without Full Credential                     | 0       | 0        | 0       | 0       |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence | 0       | 0        | 0       |         |

**Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions** 

| Indicator                                      | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0       | 0       | 0       |  |
| Total Teacher Misassignments                   | 0       | 0       | 0       |  |
| Vacant Teacher Positions                       | 0       | 0       | 0       |  |

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

## Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

| Location of Classes              | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by |                             |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Location of Classes              | NCLB Compliant Teachers                                | Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers |  |  |  |
| This School                      | 100  | 0                           |  |  |  |
| All Schools in District          | 99.43  | 0.57                        |  |  |  |
| High-Poverty Schools in District | 100  | 0                           |  |  |  |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District  | 100  | 0                           |  |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup> High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

# **VI. Support Staff**

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

| Title   | Number of FTE<br>Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per<br>Academic Counselor |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Academic Counselor                                  |                                     |  |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) |                                     |  |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian)                   |                                     |  |
| Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)     |                                     |  |
| Psychologist  | 0.2                                 |  |
| Social Worker                                       |                                     |  |
| Nurse   | 0.2                                 |  |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist                  | 0.2                                 |  |
| Resource Specialist (non-teaching)                  |                                     |  |
| Other   |                                     |  |

One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

# VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

### Year and month in which data were collected: October 2011

Updated and readily available resources are important if students are to perform at their best in class. The State of California adopts textbooks that meet quality standards established by the State Board of Education. The Chico Unified School District selects textbooks and other instructional materials from these state adoptions. All of the textbooks currently in use meet these standards. They were selected to match the needs of Chico students by a Task Force comprised of teachers and administrators and approved by the Board of Education. The CUSD convenes curricular task forces to review textbooks in core subject areas on the Kindergarten through 8th grade state adopted list concurrent with the adoption cycle.

| Core Curriculum Area       | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/<br>Year of Adoption   | From<br>Most Recent<br>Adoption? | Percent of Students<br>Lacking Own<br>Assigned Copy |
|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Reading/Language Arts      | Textbooks and support materials are state-adopted and consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. The school district has purchased and provided each student with textbooks and materials in this subject area that are aligned to state standards. Houghton Mifflin / A Legacy of Literature - 2002 is the state adopted textbook for the District. | Yes                              | 0   |
| Mathematics                | Textbooks and support materials are state-adopted and consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education. The school district has purchased and provided each student with textbooks and materials in this subject area that are aligned to state standards. McGraw Hill/Wright Group / Everyday Math - 2009 is the state adopted textbook for the District.  | Yes                              | 0   |
| Science                    | K-5: McMillan/McGraw Hill / California Science - 2007<br>6-8: Prentice Hall / Focus on California Science - 2007   | Yes                              | 0   |
| History-Social Science     | Harcourt / Reflections - 2006  | Yes                              | 0   |
| Foreign Language           | Foreign Languages not taught at Hooker Oak.  |                                  | 0   |
| Health                     | Meets State Guidelines   |                                  | 0   |
| Visual and Performing Arts | Meets State Guidelines   |                                  | 0   |

## **VIII. School Finances**

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

| Level  | Total<br>Expenditures<br>Per Pupil | Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/ Restricted) | Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/ Unrestricted) | Average<br>Teacher<br>Salary |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|
| School Site                                  | \$8,015                            | \$2,832   | \$5,183                                      | \$68,344                     |
| District                                     |                                    |   | \$5,212                                      | \$65,393                     |
| Percent Difference: School Site and District |                                    |   | -0.54%                                       | 4.51%                        |
| State  |                                    |   | \$5,455                                      | \$67,667                     |
| Percent Difference: School Site and State    |                                    |   | -4.98%                                       | 1.00%                        |

<sup>\*</sup> Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Hooker Oak also receives supplemental funding for specific purposes: A School Based Coordinated Program budget (state funds) supports our school improvement effort. These monies pay for classroom aides, instructional materials, and staff development. These funds are budgeted and monitored by the elected School Site Council. Our active parent organization also provide funds for Hooker Oak students and teachers.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

| Category                                      | District<br>Amount | State Average for<br>Districts In Same Category |
|---|--------------------|---|
| Beginning Teacher Salary                      | \$38,541           | \$41,035  |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary                      | \$53,749           | \$65,412  |
| Highest Teacher Salary                        | \$84,597           | \$84,837  |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary)         | \$95,080           | \$106,217                                       |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle)             | \$99,405           | \$111,763                                       |
| Average Principal Salary (High)               | \$102,267          | \$121,538                                       |
| Superintendent Salary                         | \$166,688          | \$197,275                                       |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries        | 41%                | 39%   |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | 5%                 | 5%  |

<sup>\*</sup> For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

## IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for
  grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five
  and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from
  achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science
  for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent
  them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

| otaniaaraizoa rootinig ana reo |         |         |         |          |         |         |         |         |         |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Cubings                        |         | School  |         | District |         | State   |         |         |         |
| Subject                        | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2008-09  | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| English-Language Arts          | 59      | 55      | 60      | 54       | 56      | 58      | 49      | 52      | 54      |
| Mathematics                    | 55      | 45      | 57      | 46       | 47      | 51      | 46      | 48      | 50      |
| Science                        | 66      | 57      | 60      | 61       | 64      | 67      | 50      | 54      | 57      |
| History-Social Science         | N/A     | N/A     | N/A     | 50       | 55      | 59      | 41      | 44      | 48      |

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

|   | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |             |         |                           |  |  |  |
|---|---|-------------|---------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Group   | English-<br>Language Arts                             | Mathematics | Science | History-Social<br>Science |  |  |  |
| All Students in the LEA                       | 58  | 51          | 67      | 59                        |  |  |  |
| All Student at the School                     | 60  | 57          | 60      | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| Male  | 57  | 59          | 65      | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| Female  | 63  | 55          | 56      | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| Black or African American                     | 0   | 0           | 0       | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native              | 0   | 0           | 0       | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| Asian   | 0   | 0           | 0       | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| Filipino                                      | 0   | 0           | 0       | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino                            | 53  | 32          | 0       | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander              | 0   | 0           | 0       | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| White   | 62  | 60          | 63      | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| Two or More Races                             | 55  | 55          | 0       | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged               | 46  | 44          | 44      | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| English Learners                              | 0   | 0           | 0       | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities                    | 40  | 29          | 0       | N/A                       |  |  |  |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services |   |             |         | N/A                       |  |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

| Grade | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |                       |                      |  |  |  |
|-------|---|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Level | Four of Six Standards                         | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards |  |  |  |
| 5     | 25.6  | 23.3                  | 20.9                 |  |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# X. Accountability

#### **Academic Performance Index**

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

#### Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

| API Rank        | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|
| Statewide       | 7    | 7    | 5    |
| Similar Schools | 2    | 1    | 1    |

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

| 0                                | Actual API Change |         |         |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Group                            | 2008-09           | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |
| All Students at the School       | 10                | -35     | 26      |  |  |
| Black or African American        |                   |         |         |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |                   |         |         |  |  |
| Asian                            |                   |         |         |  |  |
| Filipino                         |                   |         |         |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino               |                   |         |         |  |  |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander |                   |         |         |  |  |
| White                            | 11                | -30     | 32      |  |  |
| Two or More Races                | N/D               |         |         |  |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  | -17               | -24     | 14      |  |  |
| English Learners                 |                   |         |         |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities       |                   |         |         |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

# Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

|                                  | 2011 Growth API  |            |                  |            |                  |            |  |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|--|
| Group                            | School           |            | LEA              |            | State            |            |  |
|                                  | # of<br>Students | Growth API | # of<br>Students | Growth API | # of<br>Students | Growth API |  |
| All Students at the School       | 210              | 812        | 8,725            | 803        | 4,683,676        | 778        |  |
| Black or African American        | 7                |            | 324              | 696        | 317,856          | 696        |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1                |            | 164              | 743        | 33,774           | 733        |  |
| Asian                            | 1                |            | 612              | 775        | 398,869          | 898        |  |
| Filipino                         | 1                |            | 53               | 907        | 123,245          | 859        |  |
| Hispanic or Latino               | 18               | 707        | 1,762            | 730        | 2,406,749        | 729        |  |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0                |            | 45               | 819        | 26,953           | 764        |  |
| White                            | 165              | 828        | 5,596            | 835        | 1,258,831        | 845        |  |
| Two or More Races                | 0                |            | 23               | 762        | 76,766           | 836        |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  | 62               | 731        | 3,894            | 731        | 2,731,843        | 726        |  |
| English Learners                 | 3                |            | 1,321            | 681        | 1,521,844        | 707        |  |
| Students with Disabilities       | 22               | 649        | 977              | 622        | 521,815          | 595        |  |

### **Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

| tacquate really regions ever an anally entertained to the zero right |        |          |  |  |  |
|--|--------|----------|--|--|--|
| AYP Criteria   | School | District |  |  |  |
| Made AYP Overall   | Yes    | No       |  |  |  |
| Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts                        | Yes    | No       |  |  |  |
| Met Participation Rate: Mathematics                                  | Yes    | Yes      |  |  |  |
| Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts                        | Yes    | No       |  |  |  |
| Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics                                  | Yes    | No       |  |  |  |
| Met API Criteria   | Yes    | Yes      |  |  |  |
| Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)                                  | N/A    | Yes      |  |  |  |

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

| Indicator   | School | District  |
|---|--------|-----------|
| Program Improvement Status                          |        | In PI     |
| First Year of Program Improvement                   |        | 2004-2005 |
| Year in Program Improvement                         |        | Year 3    |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement  |        | 10        |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement |        | 35.7      |

# XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

#### **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

Curricular improvement is an integral and ongoing process at Hooker Oak and is coordinated with District direction and effort. Hooker Oak staff members have participated in the following CUSD curriculum committees: District Advisory Council, District Curriculum Council, English Learner Task Force, and Students with Disabilities Task Force. These committees and task forces develop district curricular frameworks and teaching guides which are based upon State content standards. At the site level, Professional Learning Communities carry the main responsibility for curricular development, which is driven by the school plan and District direction. Teachers who have been designated as subject area resource persons play a leadership role on the component committees. During the current school year the staff will continue to focus on mathematics instruction and on writing, and plan for how to use these in the classroom; implement the current adoptions, Houghton-Mifflin Language Arts and the math series of Everyday Mathematics, and focus on integration with the existing curriculum; and explore and implement intervention strategies in language arts and math for students needing support in these areas. Staff is also engaged with the task of defining each of the Open Structured Classroom elements as a rubric for present and future teachers to implement in all classrooms. At Hooker Oak, a match between the written curriculum, daily classroom instruction and assessment of student learning is emphasized. With other schools in Chico Unified School District, Hooker Oak utilizes a "multiple measures" approach to assessment. Student achievement is evaluated based on the classroom curriculum as well as on the nationally-normed California Standards Test. Results of all assessments are transferred to the District office, where the collected data is aggregated, disaggregated, and analyzed. The data provides important information about student progress, curriculum implementation and school accountability.